UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -5)

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See _F.Supp.3d_ (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 160 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Jan 24, 2018

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk U.S. District Court

Northern District of Ohio

By: <u>/s/Robert Pitts</u> Deputy Clerk

SCHEDULE CTO-5 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<u>DIST</u>	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>			
ARKANSAS EASTERN						
ARE	4	17-00831	Association of Arkansas Counties et al v. Purdue Pharma Inc et al			
INDIANA NORTHERN						
INN	1	18-00003	Board of Commissioners of The County of Allen The v. Purdue Pharma LP et al			
INN	1	18-00005	Fort Wayne City of v. Cardinal Health Inc et al			
INDIANA SOUTHERN						
INS	1	18-00047	CITY OF GREENWOOD, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al			
INS	1	18-00048	CITY OF NOBLESVILLE, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al			
INS	1	18-00054	TOWN OF ATLANTA, INDIANA v. CARDINAL HEALTH, INC. et al			
INS	1	18-00057	CITY OF MUNCIE, INDIANA v. CARDINAL HEALTH, INC., et al			
INS	1	18-00060	CITY OF KOKOMO, INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al			
INS	2	18-00010	VIGO COUNTY INDIANA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al			
INS	2	18-00011	CITY OF TERRE HAUTE, INDIANA v. CARDINAL HEALTH, INC. et al			
INS	4	18-00003	HARRISON COUNTY, INDIANA v. CARDINAL HEALTH, INC. et al			
INS	4	18-00006	JENNINGS COUNTY v. PURDUE PHARMA L.P. et al			

IAS IAS	4 4	18-00010 18-00011	Polk County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Adair County et al v. Purdue Pharma LP et al				
KENTUCKY EASTERN							
KYE	7	17-00193	Pike v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. et al Opposed 1/24/18				
LOUISIANA WESTERN							
LAW	2	18-00002	Woods v. Purdue Pharma L P et al				
MARYLAND							
MD	1	18-00063	Cecil County, Maryland v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al				
MASSACHUSETTS							
MA	1	18-10010	Hapgood v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
MA	3	18-10032	City of Woburn v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al				
MA	3	18-10035	City of Methuen v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al				
MICHIGAN EASTERN							
MIE	1	18-10078	County of Roscommon, Michigan v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
MIE	5	18-10077	County of Crawford, Michigan v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
MICHIGAN WESTERN							
MIW	1	18-00030	Leelanau, County of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
MIW	1	18-00032	Mason, County of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
MIW	1	18-00033	Manistee, County of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
MIW	2	18-00001	Marquette, County of v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
MINNESOTA							
MN	0	18-00062	County of Anoka, Minnesota v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN							
MSN	3	18-00006	Tippah County, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al				
MSN	3	18-00007	Benton County, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al				
MSN	3	18-00008	Union County, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al				

MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN

MSS	5	18-00009	Amite County, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al				
NEW YORK	NEW YORK NORTHERN						
NYN	1	18-00024	County of Albany, New York v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN							
NCE	7	18-00001	Onslow County v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al				
NCE	7	18-00002	City of Jacksonville v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al				
NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN							
NCW	1	18-00004	Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al				
SOUTH DA	SOUTH DAKOTA						
SD	4	18-04003	Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe et al v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
TENNESSEE EASTERN							
TNE	2	18-00002	Greene County, Tennessee v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al				
TNE	2	18-00003	Johnson County v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al (TV1)				
TNE	3	18-00006	Campbell County v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al (JRG2)				
TENNESSEE MIDDLE							
TNM	3	18-00008	Williamson County, Tennessee v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation et al				
TENNESSEE WESTERN							
TNW	1	18-02016	Haywood County v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Coropration et al				
TEXAS EASTERN							
TXE	2	18-00002	County of Camp v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
TXE	5	18-00002	County of Franklin v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al				
WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN							
WVS	5	17-04484	Raleigh County Commission v. CVS Indiana, L.L.C. et al				

WISCONSIN EASTERN

WIE 2 18–00022 Brown County et al v. Purdue Pharma LP et al